EXHIBIT 18

William Michael Marshall Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

	Flovence, Thrany N v. Officer States of America, et al		
		Page	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA		
2	CHARLESTON DIVISION IN ADMIRALTY		
3			
4	TIFFANY N. PROVENCE, AS THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF JUAN		
5	ANTONIO VILLALOBOS HERNANDEZ,		
6	Plaintiff,		
7	vs. CASE NO. 2:21-cv-965-RMG		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CROWLEY		
8	MARITIME CORPORATION, CROWLEY GOVERNMENT SERVICES, INC., DETYENS SHIPYARDS, INC.,		
9	AND HIGHTRAK STAFFING, INC. D/B/A HITRAK STAFFING, INC.,		
10			
11	Defendants.		
12	DEPOSITION OF: WILLIAM MICHAEL MARSHALL		
13	DATE: December 14, 2021		
14	TIME: 11:47 a.m.		
15	LOCATION: DETYENS SHIPYARDS, INC. 1670 Dry Dock Avenue		
16	Suite 200 North Charleston, SC		
17	Notell Charlescon, Sc		
	TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Plaintiff		
18	DEDODUED DV. MICHAEL DAVID DODEDUG		
19	REPORTED BY: MICHAEL DAVID ROBERTS, Court Reporter		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

William Michael Marshall December 14, 2021 Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

	Page 27
1	Q. Leo Fary?
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. Okay. But as part of your
4	investigation is it accurate to say you
5	conducted an investigation and tried to do a report
6	of what occurred in conjunction with
7	Mr. Hernandez's death? What was kind of your role
8	in this whole event where Mr. Hernandez was killed?
9	A. I prepared that report.
10	Q. All right. And was it your intention
11	to investigate how the incident occurred?
12	A. I investigated it, yes.
13	Q. Okay. And was it your intention to
14	generate this report that would summarize your
15	factual findings and the things that you uncovered
16	as a result of your investigation?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. All right. And I think you said this
19	earlier. This is the type of report that Detyens
20	prepares in the ordinary course of its business; is
21	that right?
22	MR. CLEMENT: Objection to the form.
23	BY MR. YOUNG:
24	Q. I'll try maybe a better way.
25	This is a Detyens form and you filled

William Michael Marshall December 14, 2021 Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

	Page 35
1	Q. Did you discuss it with anybody else
2	other than reading it yourself?
3	A. I don't recall that I did.
4	Q. And when you talked with Mr. Reynolds
5	about that, did you discuss that with him?
6	A. I think it was inquired as to why I had
7	checked that block.
8	Q. Okay. And you gave him the same
9	explanation that you just shared with us
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q generally, right?
12	And he disagreed with you, Mr. Reynolds
13	that is?
14	A. I don't know that he disagreed, but he
15	didn't think that block was applicable to the
16	incident.
17	Q. Okay. So he understood your point or
18	at least you perceived that he understood?
19	A. I perceived.
20	MR. CLEMENT: Objection to the form.
21	BY MR. YOUNG:
22	Q. Was it a face-to-face meeting with him,
23	or was it over the telephone?
24	A. It would have been face-to-face.
25	Q. Okay. And so I would assume that in,

	Page 37
1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Okay. What role would Mr. Reynolds
3	have played in the investigation of Mr. Hernandez's
4	death, if you know? What would seem most logical
5	that he would be doing?
6	A. He doesn't get involved in the
7	investigation.
8	Q. Okay. And that's what I want to make
9	sure I understand.
10	He wasn't as far as you know, he
11	wasn't involved in the investigation, but he
12	disagreed with your completion of his report and he
13	asked you to change it; is that right?
14	A. Correct.
15	MR. CLEMENT: Objection to the form.
16	BY MR. YOUNG:
17	Q. And you agreed to change it because he
18	asked you or because you changed your mind? That's
19	what I'm trying to figure out.
20	A. He disagreed that it needed to be
21	checked.
22	Q. Okay. You still continue to believe
23	even as we sit here today that there were not
24	sufficient written instructions for how to stow the
25	davit arm; is that true?

William Michael Marshall Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 38
1	MR. GILSENAN: Objection.
2	THE WITNESS: In my opinion?
3	BY MR. YOUNG:
4	Q. Yes, sir.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. All right. And then the next section
7	of the report says hazards not identified, and that
8	is on exhibit 3, and you checked that box as a root
9	cause; is that correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Now, tell us if you would in the same
12	sort of fashion why you checked that cause that
13	box? Excuse me.
14	A. Because at that time I didn't know how
15	the davit was stowed the davit arm was stowed,
16	so that's a hazard not identified.
17	Q. I see.
18	And did you subsequently come to learn
19	or did you later find out how the davit arm was
20	actually stowed?
21	A. On the day of the event.
22	Q. Okay. But when you were filling out
23	this report, it was your judgment that the risk of
24	being crushed by this davit arm had not been
25	identified properly; is that right?

William Michael Marshall Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

Page 40 1 on it? 2. MR. CLEMENT: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I didn't know how it 3 was -- prior to the -- to the incident, I didn't 4 5 know how it was stored. BY MR. YOUNG: 6 7 Ο. Okay. And then when you met with Mr. Reynolds, he asked you to reconsider that and 8 9 remove that as a root cause as well? 10 Α. Yes. 11 All right. And you decided to remove Ο. 12 it so that Mr. Reynolds would sign the form that we 13 marked as exhibit 2, right? 14 There was some discussion. Exactly Α. 15 what it was I don't recall, but it came to be that 16 we were going to -- that it was removed. 17 Okay. And then as we're sitting here Q. 18 today, in your personal opinion do you still 19 believe that the hazard that killed Mr. Hernandez 20 was not properly identified? 21 MR. CLEMENT: Objection to the form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I think the lack of 23 written instructions on how to secure and store -stow the davit arm wasn't clear. 24 2.5 BY MR. YOUNG:

William Michael Marshall

December 14, 2021 Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al Page 41 1 0. I got you. 2. And that's related to the hazard if I'm 3 understanding? Α. Yes. 4 5 Ο. I understand. And then you also checked the box 6 7 inattention to detail. Now, what's that -- tell us what that refers to in your mind and why you 8 9 checked that box. 10 Α. Just after the accident -- incident 11 talking to people and where Mr. Hernandez had 12 positioned himself to work. 13 Ο. Right. 14 You know, and, again, afterthought or 15 after the fact is -- it just seemed like a strange 16 place to be in position to work. 17 Q. Okay. And so when it says inattention 18 to detail, you're referring to Mr. Hernandez's 19 inattention to detail; is that right? 20 Α. Yes, that. 21 Because of where he's located in an 2.2 unsafe position or posture?

> Veritext Legal Solutions calendar-carolinas@veritext.com

But I think if I'm understanding you,

Α.

Ο.

Yes.

I see.

23

24

2.5

Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

December 14, 2021 William Michael Marshall

Page 60 more familiar with the lifeboat, davit arms and how 1 2. they worked than the folks at Detyens Shipyards --3 MR. GILSENAN: Objection. BY MR. YOUNG: 4 5 -- who were on that particular project? Ο. 6 MR. CLEMENT: Same objection. 7 BY MR. YOUNG: You can still answer it. They're doing 8 O. 9 their jobs. 10 Α. I would think that the ship's personnel 11 would know more about how they operate than Detyens 12 Shipyards, yes. 13 O. Okay. And was there anybody at Detyens 14 Shipyards that was a specialist that you know of in 15 lifeboats or davits or these kinds of systems? 16 Α. Specialist? 17 Yes, or experienced with it in any way. Q. 18 Again, davit arm repair work is -- is Α. 19 pretty common during these availabilities, not on 20 every availability, and they're not all -- all 21 davit arms are not the same type that was on the 2.2 Lummus. 23 Oh, I see. Okay. Right. Q. 24 In other words, different systems? 2.5 Α. Could be, yes.

December 14, 2021

	Trovence, Thrang IV V. Office States of America, et al
	Page 84
1	that based on your investigation you uncovered
2	about improper grounding of the ship itself?
3	A. I don't know that I looked at it.
4	Q. Okay. Before this incident occurred,
5	is it true there was no written procedure at
6	Detyens for how to safely stow lifeboat davit arms
7	on ships that are being worked on?
8	A. I have no knowledge of of that.
9	Q. Is there any written procedure today
10	for working on lifeboat davits, davit arms?
11	A. There's a memorandum on chocking them
12	or structurally securing them; but as far as a
13	procedure, I don't know of any.
14	Q. Okay. The memorandum about chocking
15	them, tell me a little bit more about that.
16	A. I believe I issued it. It just said
17	that we would either remove the arms in the future
18	or we would structurally chock them.
19	Q. Okay. And that was a where would
20	that memorandum have been circulated, to all
21	Detyens personnel?
22	A. In my best recollection, it was sent to
23	anybody any of the departments that would be
24	doing electrical welding.

Okay. And that was a result of all

Q.

25